



## Review Article

# EFFECT OF FERTILIZERS ON GROWTH AND PRODUCTIVITY OF POTATO- A REVIEW

MISHRA NITYAMANJARI\*

Horticulture, Regional Research & Technology Transfer Station, Keonjhar, 758002, Orissa University of Agriculture and Technology, Bhubaneswar, Odisha 751003

\*Corresponding Author: Email-nityamanjari.mishra@gmail.com

Received: February 21, 2018; Revised: February 25, 2018; Accepted: February 26, 2018; Published: February 28, 2018

**Abstract-** Potato originated in the Peru-Bolivian region in the Andes (South America), largely raised in cool regions where the mean temperature of the growing season does not exceed 18°C. It is the third most important food crop after wheat and rice. Potato is a heavy feeder crop and hence needs heavy doses of fertilizers for its growth and yield. Obviously, nutrient management shall be an essential aspect in the management of potato crop. It is the art of managing the amount, form, placement and timing of application of nutrients to plants. However, integrated nutrient management is essential tools for balanced fertilization and sustainability of crop production on long term basis. This review gives an account of nutrient management carried out on potato crop for optimization of potato growth and tuber yield.

**Key Words-** Potato, Fertilizer, Nutrient Management, Growth, Productivity

**Citation:** Mishra Nityamanjari (2018) Effect of Fertilizers on Growth and Productivity of Potato- A Review. International Journal of Agriculture Sciences, ISSN: 0975-3710 & E-ISSN: 0975-9107, Volume 10, Issue 4, pp.-5183-5186.

**Copyright:** Copyright© Mishra Nityamanjari. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Academic Editor / Reviewer:** Singh Sukhdev, Dr Ajay Puri Goswami

## Introduction

Potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) belongs to night shade family Solanaceae having chromosome number ( $2n=4X=48$ ) is an important food crop of the world and also a very popular vegetable crop. It is the "food of Future". Potato tuber contains 70-82 % water, 17-29% dry matter, 11-23% carbohydrate, 0.8-3% protein, 0.1% fat and 1.1% minerals. Potato is the rich source of starch, vitamin C and B and minerals. It also contains good amount of essential amino acids like leucine, tryptophan and isoleucine [1]. Potato contains high protein -calories ratio (17g protein:1000 Kcal) and yields more edible energy, protein (3 kg/ha/Day) and dry matter per unit area and time (47.6kg/ha/day) compared to cereals [2].

Potato is grown and consumed all round the world and is one of the main vegetable cash crop [3]. It is the third most important food crop after wheat and rice because of its great yield potential and high nutritive value [4]. It is among the major food crops grown in more than 100 centuries in the world [5]. China leads the world in potato production and nearly one third of potatoes are harvested in China and India. India ranks second to China with area, production and productivity of about 1.97 m ha, 41.55 million tons and 21.1 t/ha respectively [6]. Potato is a heavy feeder crop and hence needs heavy doses of fertilizers for its growth and yield. It also demands high level of soil nutrients due to relatively poorly developed and shallow root system in relation to yield. Potato produces much more dry matter in shorter cycle hence requires large amount of nutrients per unit time, which generally most of the soils are not able to supply. Hence, nutrients from external sources as fertilizer became essential. Balanced and timely supply of fertilizer is a pre-requisite for getting optimum yield potential of potato [7]. Proper nutrient management is essential to maximize potato production and sustain agricultural production while minimize negative impact on soil fertility [8].

## Effect of Nitrogen on growth and yield of potato:

Nitrogen fertilizer increased the leaf area which increases the amount of solar radiation intercepted and consequent, increases days to flowering, days to Physiological maturity, plant height and dry matter production of different plant

parts [9]. Similarly, the potato crop requires high amounts of nitrogen for optimum yields [10]. A mature potato crop, yielding 25-30 t/ha usually removed 120 to 140 Kg N/ha. He also reported that higher rate of nitrogen provides better growth, development and translocation of photosynthetic from source to sink (tuber) which resulted in higher yield of tubers [11]. The effects of different grades of nitrogen and crop duration on the bulking properties of potato varieties K. Suttlej were determined that all growth parameters like plant height, number of stems per hill, leaf fresh weight and yield parameters like number of tubers per hill, dry matter content, yield of different grade tubers, mean total tuber yield and tuber bulking increased with increasing rates on N [12]. Likewise, the covering with nitrogen application resulted in an increase of the dry matter content in tubers by 1.29% and starch by 0.45% [13].

Application of 25t/ha organic manure along with 100% recommended dose of nitrogen in inorganic form or more than 50% of recommended dose of nitrogen in inorganic form and remaining parts of nitrogen in caster cake or poultry manure increased the chlorophyll content, tuber yield, tuber dry matter and minimized storage losses and improved quality of chips [14]. Application of 100% N of recommended dose also boosted the growth and yield of potato. However, highest tuber yield and net return was obtained with application of FYM @ 15 t/ha + Azotobacter + 100% recommended dose of nitrogen [15]. N level increased, auxillary branch number, plant height increased and the maximum stem and leaf biomass and leaf number was achieved with 160 Kg N/ha and highest main stem number was achieved in 80 kg N/ha [16]. There is a linear relationship between yield of tubers per hectare and the different levels of nitrogen, hence reported the increase in tuber yield with the application of higher levels of nitrogen [17]. A significant increase in tuber yield was recorded with the increase in the dose of nitrogen [18]. The maximum number of tubers, tuber weight and number of stems with application of highest dose of nitrogen i.e. 200kg/ha of N for the variety Agria during the year 2012 [19]. The highest yield of tuber 39.83 t/ha was obtained when crops were applied with 225 Kg N and 150 kg of K<sub>2</sub>O/ha against a tuber yield of only 14.36 t/ha without application of any fertilizer for the variety Kufri Pukhraj [20].

On the other hand, Excessive application of nitrogen leads to delayed maturity, poor tuber quality and occasional reduction in tuber yield [21]. Argonomic N use efficiency (118.6-66.0 Kg tubers/kg N applied) decreased linearly with increase in N levels for the cultivar K. Anand and K. Pukhraj [22]. Chip colour is also darkened with application of higher dose of nitrogen [23]. High use of N and less use P and negligible use of K fertilizers and micronutrients led to nutrient imbalances in soils and lower nutrient use efficiency and economic profitability [24,25].

However, the potato gave optimum tuber yield when N fertilizer was applied at the rate of 204 kg/ha and yield reduction was noted when applied above this rate [26]. There was also decrease in the dry matter beyond 200 kg N/ha, which might be due to difference in relative maturity of tubers [27]. The results of the experiment conducted by Georgakis et al. during the year 1997 showed that increase in nitrogen rates up to favorite point led to increase in tuber yield per unit area beyond which the rate decrease [28]. This might be due to the fact that vegetative growth of the aerial parts can increase with application of more Nitrogen and hence, inhibit transferring photo synthetically matters into the storage parts (tubers).

The lower dose of Nitrogen (80 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and plant density of 11 plants per m<sup>2</sup> results high edible quality and high yield of tuber along with lowest nitrate pollution in soil and underground water [29]. Similarly, The tuber yield per unit area was increased with increasing nitrogen fertilizer up to suitable level [30, 31].

So, it is concluded that nitrogen is important for a heavy feeder crop like potato. N deficiency is characterized by yellowing of leaves, stunted growth and lower yield. Application of nitrogen plays a key role in crop growth of potato and development resulting in increased size and number of processing and no-processing grade tubers ultimately enhancing total yield. However, there must be optimization of nitrogen application beyond which it will not respond.

#### Effect of P on growth and yield of Potato:

The presence of sufficient quantity of phosphorus caused beneficial response in improvement of haulms per plant [32]. The yield response to increasing levels of fertilizer was generally positively up to particular level, above which the response became negative. They also noted that excess use of P fertilizers is usually associated with reduced tuber weight by hastening the maturation period and reducing tuber size [33]. Phosphorus is a part of the nucleic acid which is very important for seed and fruit formation and root growth. Phosphorus also is responsible in increasing the number of leaves in the early stages of plant growth [34]. Phosphorus is also responsible for energy transfer necessary for metabolic processes within the plant [35]. Similarly, the phosphorus uptake was significantly reduced with the application of zinc which may be due to antagonistic effect of Zn on P availability and its uptake by the crop. The treatment without K not only adversely affected the K uptake but also uptake of N and P because of lower yield and reduced concentration of nitrogen and phosphorus [36].

So, it is concluded that phosphorus is especially important for early plant development and rapid tuber growth of potato. It plays an important role in plant nutrition particularly it helps to increase early crop growth. It is also responsible for energy transfer which is necessary for metabolic processes within the plant.

#### Effect of K on growth and yield of Potato:

The potato crop feeds heavily on soil potassium and the tuber removed 1 to 5 times the amount of nitrogen and 4 to 5 times the amount of phosphate. Potato also acts as an indicator crop for K availability because of its high K requirement [37]. Potassium also allowed the crops to adopt to environmental stress and promoted plant tolerance to insect infection and resistance to fungal disease. Moreover, potash fertilizer reduced frost injury and enzymatic browning [38, 39].

On an average, the potato crop, yielding about 29 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, removed about 91 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> [40]. Potassium was considered as an integral component of the balanced fertilization of potato crop to improve yield as well as quality of the tuber [41]. Supply of potassium strengthens stems to prevent lodging, increases yield and improves tuber quality [42]. It also promotes large size of tubers by the increasing water accumulation in tubers resulting in higher tuber yield [43]. Application of K increased the size of the tubers so it increases the yield by increasing the number of large sized tubers [44]. As compared to low K rate, the higher K rate application

increased the yield of medium (28-60mm) and oversized (>60mm) tubers by approximately 15% and 40% respectively [45]. Potassium application at 150 Kg K<sub>2</sub>O/ha proved to be optimum dose for most of the parameters studied [46]. Application of 100 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/ha as MOP significantly increased number as well as tuber yield of large (>75g) and medium large (50-75g) tubers which resulted in increase in overall tuber yield as well as marketable yield in potato var. Kufri Pukhraj [47]. K affected potato quality and yield and insufficient K resulted in reduced potato yield and smaller sized tubers [48].

However, the yield of medium grade tubers was increased but that of small grade tuber was not affected by progressive application of K fertilizers [49-51]. An increase in tuber yield was noticed with increasing K application rates up to the highest rate. Higher B:C ratio at higher dose of potash was due to high gross and net return from the cultivation [52,53]. The yield performance of the genotypes was truly reflected in the net economic returns with 150 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> proving the optimum K level. However, return per rupee invested and net production value showed a reverse trend, with 75 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> proving as the best K application rate [54].

The dry matter of potatoes decreased with increasing K level. Excess K fertilizer was reported to reduce dry matter or specific gravity [55]. The potassium is important in carbohydrate formation and in the transformation and movement of starch from potato leaves to tuber [56]. The level of potash increased there was a decrease level of reducing sugar and browning of chips as well. On the other hand, higher potash levels increased the percentage of fat content and recovery of chips [57].

While considering the application of potassium it is concluded that potassium is essential for carbohydrate synthesis and in translocation and movement of starch from leaves to tubers. It increases both the rate and duration of bulking and also allowing the crops to adopt to environmental stress and promoting plant tolerance to insect infection and resistance to fungal disease. Moreover, potash fertilizer reduced frost injury and enzymatic browning too.

#### Effect of NPK on growth and yield of Potato

The uptake of fertilizer nutrients (NPK) by potato per unit area and time is quite high due fast rate of early growth and tuber bulking [58]. There is beneficial effect of combined application of organic and inorganic sources of nutrient on tuber bulking rate of potato [59]. The combined application of neem seed powder @ 1.2 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, karanj cake @ 1.0 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, farm yard manure @ 6 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, commercial formulation Biomax @ 0.6 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and biomass @ 1.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> along with 75% of recommended dose of NPK fertilizers (RDF) increased the tuber yield by 5.87% to 10.56% over the control (100% RDF) [60]. Adequate supply of nutrient either through chemical fertilizers or 75% NPK through chemical fertilizers and remaining 25% N through FYM was mainly responsible for increasing the number of tubers/plant and tuber weight that ultimately resulted in high potato tuber yield [61]. The highest tuber yield (321 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded with 100% recommended dose of NPK fertilizers and was significantly higher than all other treatments [62]. Balanced nutrient through integrated use of greater proportion of chemical fertilizers (75% RDF) along with 25% of fertilizer through FYM might be adequate for increasing plant height, stem number and stem girth [63].

Keeping the soil health and sustainability of production system in view, the integrated use of inorganic fertilizers and organic manures i.e. 75% of recommended dose of NPK through inorganic source and 25% from FYM (on N basis) is suggested by Kumar et al., 2008. Similarly, The potato variety Kufri Megha receiving RDF or 75% RDF +25% recommended dose of nutrient through FYM produced the highest tuber yield [64]. Growing of Kufri Megha with 75% RDF through chemical fertilizers and 25% RDN through FYM along with 100% RDF through chemical fertilizers for better growth, greater tuber yield and higher profit from potato [65].

The highest B:C ratio (1.34 and 1.29) was also recorded in recommended dose of NPK treatment [66]. Integrated use of inorganic and organic sources of nutrients significantly improved the yield of potato. Application of bio fertilizers gave the additional benefits and vermicompost proved better than FYM in improving the yield of potato Shalimar-1 [67]. The best treatment combination was with application of 50% recommended dose of fertilizer (NPK) through inorganic

fertilizers and 50% RDN through poultry manure along with combination of Azotobacter +PSB [68]. The highest yield (25.2 t/ha) was achieved, where 3 tonnes of poultry manure was applied along with recommended dose of chemical fertilizer [69]. The height of plant, number of compound leaves per hill, number of haulms per hill, yield attributes and yield were greatly influenced by integrated nutrient management (INM). He further observed that application of 150:100:120 kg NPK, 20 t FYM, 5 t vermicompost and 3 t Neem cake/ha brought par amount of improvement in growth and tuber yield of potato [70].

Application of 75% of full recommended doses of fertilizers (RDF)(120:75:75 NPK/ha)+ 8t vermicompost +pre-sowing tuber treatment with Azotobacter and PSB proved significantly superior in terms of number of tubers per hills, harvest index, tuber yield (32.7 t/ha) and benefit cost ratio (1.75) of potato over rest of the treatments [71]. Application of 225kg N+ 150 Kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> + 225 Kg K<sub>2</sub>O + 40 Kg S + 2 Kg B + 6 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub>/ha not only enhanced the potato tuber yield but also had pronounced effect on tuber dry matter yield [72].

A fertilizer dose of 150: 80: 100 Kg N, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>O per hectare along with FYM @ 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and a spacing of 60 cm x 20 cm is recommended to produce a profitable potato crop in coastal agro-climatic condition [73]. Application of combined nutrient management is the best combination for sustainable crop yield [74].

### Conclusion

Low soil fertility is one of the contributing factors to low potato yield in most parts of world. Among the plant nutrients, plant requires a variety of elements for growth and development of which N,P,K are the most important elements. If due attention will pay to nutrient management then potato yield of our country can be increase by 50%. However, for maximization of productivity as well as to maintain the soil fertility adoption of integrated nutrient management is the right solution.

**Application of review:** Effect of NPK on growth and yield of Potato

**Review Category:** Fertilizer, Nutrient Management

### Abbreviations:

K<sub>2</sub>O: Potassium oxide

P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>: Phosphorus pentoxide

**Acknowledgement/ Funding:** Author is thankful to Regional Research & Technology Transfer Station, Keonjhar, 758002, Orissa University of Agriculture and Technology, Bhubaneswar, Odisha 751003

**\*Principle Investigator: Dr Nityamanjari Mishra**

University: Orissa University of Agriculture and Technology, Bhubaneswar, Odisha 751003

**Research project name or number:** Nil

**Author Contributions:** Sole Author

**Conflict of Interest:** None declared

**Ethical approval:** This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

### References

- [1] Khurana PSM and Naik PS. (2003) The potato Production and utilization in sub-tropics, The Potato: an overview (Edited by S.M. Paul Khurana, J.S. Minas and S.K. Pandey) pp 1-14. Mehta Publication, New Delhi.
- [2] Ezekiel R and Pandey SK. (2008) Potato is a nutritious food with industrial uses, Souvenir Global Potato Conference-2008, New Delhi, 12<sup>th</sup> December 2008, pp 12-15.
- [3] Ewing EE. (1997). The physiology of vegetable crops(Ed: H.C. Wein). CAB international UK pp 295-344.
- [4] Kumar M, Baishya LK, Ghosh DC, Gupta VK, Dubey SK, Das A and Patel DP. (2012) *Journal of Agricultural Science*, 4(5), 223-234.
- [5] Nyunza G and Mwakaje AEG. (2012) *Tanzanian International Journal of current Business and Social Science*, 3 (23), 86-96.
- [6] NHB. (2015) National Horticulture Board Database (2015) Gurgaon, India.
- [7] Kushwah VS, Singh SP and Lal SS. (2005) *potato Journal*, 32(3-4), 157-58.
- [8] Ahmed NU, /mahmud NU, Salim M, Haldar SC and Ullah H (2017) *International Journal of Natural and Social Sciences*, 4 (1), 49-56.
- [9] Krishnipa KS.(1989) *Mysore Journal of Agricultural Science*, 23,349-345.
- [10] Errebhi M, Rosen CJ, Gupta SC and Birong DE. (1998) *Agronomy Journal*, 90, 10-15.
- [11] Patel JC and Patel BK. (2001) *Journal of Indian Potato Association*, 28(2-4), 293-295.
- [12] Kumar V, Singh N, Malik YS, Bhatia AK and Nehra BK. (2002) *Haryana Journal of Horticulture Science*, 31(3/4), 259-261.
- [13] Wadas W, Jabo ska-Ceglarek R and Kosterna E. (2005) *Electronic Journal of Polish Agricultural Universities*, 8(1), 026-030.
- [14] Kanbi VH and Bhatnagar R. (2005) *Potato Journal*, 32(3-4),161-162.
- [15] Singh SK and Gupta VK. (2005) *Agriculture Science Digest*, 25(4),281-283.
- [16] SaeidiM, Tobeh A, Raei Y, Roohi A, Jamaati-e-Somarin S and Hassanzadeh M. (2009) *Research Journal of Environmental Science*, 3, 278-84.
- [17] Zamil MF, Rahman MM, Rabbani MG and Khatun T. (2010) *Bangladesh Research Public Journal*, 3(3), 1062-1070.
- [18] Barghi A, Tobeh A and Hassanzadeh N. (2012) *Annals of Biological Research*, 3(9), 4264-4275.
- [19] Etemad B and Sarajuoghi M. (2012) *Annals of Biological Research*, 3(3), 1385-1387.
- [20] Singh SK and Lal SS. (2012) *Potato Journal*,39(2),155-165.
- [21] Peshin A and Singh B.(1999) *Journal of Indian Potato Association*, 26, 145-47.
- [22] Kumar P, Pandey SK, Singh BP, Singh SV and Kumar D. (2007) *Indian journal of Potato Research*,50, 143-55.
- [23] Sandhu KS, Chinna GS, Marwaha RS, Kumar P and Pandey SK. (2010) *Potato Journal*,37(3-4),143-150.
- [24] Datta A, Shrestha S, Ferdous Z and Win CC (2015) Strategies for enhancing Phosphorus Efficiency in crop production System. In: A. Rakshit, H.B. Singh and A. Sen(Eds.), Nutrient Use efficiency: from Basics to Advances. Springer, ISBN 978-81-322-2169-2, pp. 59-71.
- [25] Detchinli KS and Sogbedji JM (2015) *European Scientific Journal*, 11 (27), 312-324.
- [26] Timm H and Flocker WJ. (1966) *Agronomy Journal*, 77, 616-621.
- [27] Wassermann DT, James DW, Tindall TA and Hurst RL. (1994) *American Potato Journal*, 71,433-53.
- [28] Georgakis DN, Karafyllidis DI, Stavropoulos NI, Nianiou EX and Vezyroglou IA. (1997) *Acta Horticulturae*, 462, 935-942.
- [29] Jamaati-e-Somarin S, Tobeh A, Hashemimajid K, Hassanzadeh M, Saeidi M and Zabihi-e-Mahmoodabad R. (2010) *Indian Journal Horticulture*, 67, 329-333.
- [30] Marguerite O, Jean-Pierre G and Jean-Francois L. (2006) *Agronomy Journal*,98, 496-506.
- [31] Mehdi M, Saleem T, Rai HK, Mir MS and Rai G. (2008) *Potato Journal*, 35(3-4),126-129.
- [32] Sahota TS and Govindakrishnan PM. (1979) *Bangladesh Horticulture*, 1(1/2),1-9.
- [33] Sharma VC and Arora BR. (1987) *Journal of Agricultural Science*,108, 321-329.
- [34] Harris P. (1992) Mineral nutrition, The potato Crop: the Scientific Basis for Improveent, 2<sup>nd</sup> edition, (P.M. Harris, Ed.), pp-162-213, Chapman and Hall, London, U.K.
- [35] Babaji BA, Amanas EB, Falaki AM, Chiezey UF, Mahmud M, Mahadi MA and Muhammad AA. (2007) *Nigerian Journal of Agricultural Biological*



- Science, 2(4-5), 21-25.
- [36] Singh H, Singh SP and Singh MP. (2009) *Annals of Plant and Soil Research*, 11(2), 140-142.
- [37] Fageria NK, Baligar VC and Jones CA. (1997) Growth and Mineral Nutrition of Field Crops, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition. Marcel Dekker Inc., Rome.
- [38] Bansal SK and Trehan SP. (2011) *Karnataka Journal of Agriculture Science*, 24(1), 48-54.
- [39] Sahota TS, Singh H, Cheema SS and Grewal JS. (1988) *Poash Review*, 3, 10.
- [40] Grewal JS and Singh SN. (1979) *Indian Journal of Agricultural Science*, 49, 802-809.
- [41] Grewal JS and Sharma RC. (1978) Response of potato to potassic fertilizers in India. Proc. Symp. Potassium in soils and Crops Potash Res. Institute. New Delhi. 309-326.
- [42] Omran MS, Taysae M, El-Shinnawi MM and El-Sayed MM. (1991) *Egyptian Journal of Soil Science*, 31(1), 27-42.
- [43] Trehan SP and Singh BP. (2013) *Potato journal*, 40(1), 1-21.
- [44] Trehan SP, Roy SK and Sharma RC. (2001) *Better Crops International*, 15, 18-21.
- [45] Tawfik AA. (2001) *African crop Science Journal*, 9, 147-155.
- [46] Moinuddin, Singh K, Bansal SK and Pasricha (2004) *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, 27(2), 239-259.
- [47] Singh V, Singh S and Singh H. (2010) *Annals of Plant and Soil Research*, 12(2), 83-85.
- [48] Satyanarayana V and Arora PN. (1985) *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 30, 292-295.
- [49] Nandekar DN, Sharma TR, Sharma RC and Sawrkar SD. (1991) *Journal of Indian potato Association*, 18, 178-179.
- [50] Singh D, Singh D and Marwaha SK. (1997) *Journal of Indian Potato Association*, 24, 40-43.
- [51] Govindakrishnan PM, Upadhyay NC and Sharma HC. (1994) *Journal of Potassium Research*, 10, 248-254.
- [52] Lalitha BB, Saharanappa and Hansigi G. (1997) *Journal of the Indian Potato Association*, 24, 74-78.
- [53] Singh and Bansal SK. (2000) *Journal of Potassium Research*, 16, 52-54.
- [54] Moinuddin, Singh K, Bansal SK. (2005) *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, 28(1), 183-200.
- [55] Westernmann DT, James DW, Tindall TA and Hurst RL. (1994) *American potato Journal*, 71, 433-53.
- [56] Babaji BA, Amanas EB, Chiezey UF, Falaki AM, Tanimu B and Mukhtar AA. (2009) *Asian Journal of Crop Science*, 1, 26-33.
- [57] Dhakal R, Sah SK, Shakya SM, and Basnet KB. (2011) *Agronomy Journal of Nepal*, 2, 60-65.
- [58] Singh JP, Trehan SP and Sharma RC. (1997) *Journal of Indian Potato Association*, 24, 85-99.
- [59] Chettri M, Mondal SS and Roy B. (2002) *Journal of Indian Potato Association*, 29, 61-65.
- [60] Mondal SS, Acharya D, Ghosh A and Bug A. (2005) *Potato Journal*, 32(1-2), 75-78.
- [61] Mondal SS and Sarkar B. (2005) *Environment and Ecology*, 235, 387-391.
- [62] Kushwah VS, Singh SP and Lal SS. (2005) *Potato Journal*, 32(3-4), 157-158.
- [63] Roy Burman R, Kumar M and Nagaraj KM. (2007) *Advances in Organic Farming Technology in India*, pp-271-279.
- [64] Baishya LK, Kumar M and Ghosh DC. (2010) *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 55(3), 230-234.
- [65] Baishya LK, Kumar M and Ghosh DC. (2013) *International Journal of Agricultural Environment Biotechnology*, 6(1), 69-77.
- [66] Sarkar A, Sarkar S and Zaman A. (2011) *Potato Journal*, 38(1), 78-80.
- [67] Narayan S, Kanth RH, Narayan R, Khan FA, Singh P and Rehman SU. (2013) *Potato Journal*, 40(1), 84-86.
- [68] Kumar M, Baishya LK, Ghosh DC, Ghosh M, Gupta VK and Verma MR. (2013) *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, 36, 1065-1082.
- [69] Islam MM, Akhter S, Majid NM, Ferdous J and Alam (2013) *Australian Journal of Crop Science*, 7(9), 1235-1241.
- [70] Barman KS, Ram B and Verma RB. (2014) *Trends in Biosciences*, 7(9), 815-817.
- [71] Narayan S, Kanth RH, Narayan R, Khan FA, Saxena A and Hussain T. (2014) *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 59(1), 145-150.
- [72] Singh S, Kumar D, Chandel BS and Singh V. (2014) *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 59(3), 451-454.
- [73] Martha D, Sahu GS, Sahu P and Mishra N (2017) *Plant Archives*, 17(2), 1435-1438.
- [74] Ferdous Z, Anowar MM, Haque Z, Mahamud NU and Hossain MM (2014) *Bangladesh Journal of Environmental Science*, 27, 98-101.