

THE EMPLOYABILITY OF UNDERGRADUATES IN TAIWAN: FROM THE VIEW POINT OF ENTERPRISES AND THEMSELVES

Jen-Chia Chang¹, Hsi-Chi Hsiao², Su-Chang Chen³, & Dyi-Cheng Chen⁴

¹Graduate Institute of Technological and Vocational Education, National Taipei University of Technology (Taiwan)

² Department of Business Administration, Cheng Shiu University (Taiwan)

³Department of Marketing and Logistics Management, National Penghu University of Science and Technology (Taiwan)

⁴Department of Industrial Education and Technology, National Changhua University of Education (Taiwan)

Abstract

97.70% of companies in Taiwan are SMEs. Most of these SMEs have an experience of recruitment on new employees just graduated from universities. Unfortunately, most companies often feel that there were exists a gap between school's supply and company's need for competencies. Therefore, the purpose of this study is to gain an insight into companies' views on the employability of undergraduates and to examine the employability they had by themselves. This study randomly selected 152 employers and 117 employees from 735 SMEs companies in 2018. According to the statistical analysis and discussion, the conclusions and recommendations drawn are as follows: 1. In view of companies' views on employability, employees' "general knowledge" is given greater importance, but graduates focus on "professional skills"; 2. Among the 45 employability items, companies attach the greatest importance to "working under pressure", but graduates focus on "team work"; 3. Top managers attach greater importance to "general knowledge" compared to middle managers; 4. Compared to other countries, the top ten employability items given importance are practically the same, but Taiwan gives special emphasis to learning ability.

Keywords: *Internship, employability, small and medium-size enterprises (SMEs), undergraduate, cooperative education.*

1. Introduction

Education provides the different kinds of workforce for economy development. In order to strengthen the employability of undergraduates, the Department of Technological and Vocational Education, MOE proposed the "Outline of Technical and Vocational Education Policy" in March 2017. The outline states that students should strengthen their abilities in practice through systematic internship systems in order for companies to hire graduates best resembling the site of practice (Ministry of Education, 2017). Many studies and graduates have confirmed that the existing school education is not in line with companies' needs and students' career development and there exists a gap between company sites in which students have employed and the company' applications. There also exists a big gap between the abilities that students learn in school and the abilities needed in the actual company workplace. Therefore, the purpose of this study is to gain an insight into employability of graduates from the perspective of enterprises and to find the gap between enterprises and undergraduates. The research conclusions shall serve as a reference for schools when making curriculum adjustments or cultivation of abilities.

2. The definition and dimensions of employability

The academia has had divided views on the definition of employability. According to the Business Dictionary (2018), employability could be defined as "A group of essential abilities that involve the development of a knowledge base, expertise level and mindset that is increasingly necessary for success in the modern workplace." It is not easy for us to measure employability, unfortunately, Misra and Mishra (2011) thought employability is not just dependent upon the labor market forces, but also on other factors like willingness, capacity, mobility training (skills enhancement) and functional flexibility (working changing shifts, working beyond job description). For instance, technical universities are

recognized as the prime engineering who will teach future engineering practitioners and present students with the knowledge on how to become “employable” that is how to develop a range of employability skills which include not only hard skills i.e. discipline specific skills, technical and IT skills but perhaps most importantly soft skills i.e. communication and interpersonal skills, ethics, critical thinking, leadership, entrepreneurship, life-long learning, problem-solving, social responsibility, adaptability, flexibility and others (Chang, et al., 2018). Brennan et al. (2001) conducted a survey on university graduates from 12 countries (now employees). With the assistance of universities, 45,000 new graduates who were already working were surveyed. The questionnaire consists of three dimensions and 37 employability abilities. For the demand in local companies, this study was revised the initial 37-item questionnaire. In this study, a 5-expert panel discussion meeting was held, and 8 questions were added to make the employability questionnaire become 45-item questionnaire.

3. Methodology

3.1. Participants

There were 735 companies in the list of institutions with Top-2000-Company in the Area of Electrical Engineering and Computer Sciences (EECS) of Industry of Manufactures (National Development Council, 2018) were downloaded from the Database of Common Wealth Magazine in Taiwan in 2018. Through purposive sampling, the samples were selected, and the questionnaire was mailed to these institutions. Questionnaires were recovered from 117 companies, accounting for the effective recovery rate of 15.9%.

3.2. Procedure

The questionnaire consists of two sections. The first section is about demographic information contains 5 items. The second section consists of 45 items concerning the “Employability”. All scales comprised 5-point Likert-type items. The average time for completing each questionnaire is 6-7 minutes.

3.3. Measurement

The Employability Scale implemented in this study was initial developed by Brennan, J., Johnston, B., Little, B., Shah, T., & Woodley, A. (2001). The Employability Scale consists of 3 dimensions: 1. Specific/ professional basic knowledge (SBK), 2. General knowledge/ abilities (GKA), and 3. Behavior/ character / personality (BCP). Internal consistency of total scale is measured with Cronbach’s alpha ($\alpha = .974$), and sub-scale in SBK is .940, in GKA is .937, and in BCP is .961.

4. Results and discussion

4.1. Difference between self and enterprise evaluation on employability

As shown in Table 1, the self-evaluation employability by graduates is higher than enterprise-evaluation. Furthermore, there is a great difference between them on behavior/character/personality (BCP).

4.2. Ranking on the employability

In Table 1, both graduates and enterprises are thought that the employability is insufficient on the specific basic knowledge. The ranking of the respective employability items. The means of the 45 employability items show that “Economic reasoning” has the lowest mean (average=2.72), followed by “Foreign language proficiency (2.78)”, and “Understanding complex social systems (2.86).” From the bottom three employability items, it can be found that all of the items fall under SBK, indicating that Taiwanese companies thought their employee with lower specific basic knowledge.

Table 1. Difference between self-evaluation and enterprise- evaluation.

Item	Self-evaluation			Enterprise-evaluation			t-test
	M	SD	Rank	M	SD	Rank	
Specific/pro. basic knowledge (SBK)	3.34	1.009		3.22	1.022		-3.424*
1. Broad general knowledge	3.59	1.001	18	3.17	0.968	21	-3.464*
2. Cross-disciplinary thinking/knowledge	3.49	0.988	14	3.01	0.952	13	-4.037*
3. Field-specific theoretical knowledge	3.46	0.886	13	3.05	1.012	14	-3.521*
4. Field-specific technical knowledge [#]	3.50	0.847	15	3.09	1.054	17	-3.439*
5. Field-specific knowledge of methods	3.41	1.043	11	2.97	1.085	10	-3.326
6. Foreign language proficiency	3.01	1.071	1	2.78	1.018	2	-1.814
7. Computer skills	3.62	1.016	19	3.55	0.941	44	-.579
8. Understanding complex social systems	3.07	1.120	3	2.86	1.017	3	-1.580
9. Planning, coordinating and organizing	3.38	0.989	8	3.05	1.032	14	-2.648*

10. Applying rules and regulations	3.20	0.949	5	3.05	0.951	14	-1.288
11. Economic reasoning	3.04	1.094	2	2.72	1.140	1	-2.315*
12. Documenting ideas and information	3.38	0.945	8	2.93	1.021	7	-3.704*
13. Practical skills in EECS [#]	3.38	0.990	8	2.99	1.042	12	-3.172*
14. Terminology in EECS [#]	3.36	1.062	7	2.97	1.038	10	-3.038*
15. Emergency response [#]	3.30	1.147	6	2.95	1.060	9	-2.604*
General knowledge & attitude (GKA)	3.68	0.933		3.25	1.038		-4.436*
16. Problem-solving ability	3.69	0.960	23	3.11	1.081	18	-4.634*
17. Analytical competencies	3.44	0.986	12	2.88	1.073	4	-4.350*
18. Numerical abilities	3.19	1.050	4	2.89	1.007	5	-2.377*
19. Learning abilities	3.87	0.856	38	3.63	0.975	45	-2.168*
20. Reflective thinking on one's own work	3.69	0.885	23	3.26	1.002	30	-3.662*
21. Creativity	3.56	0.986	17	3.36	0.945	37	-1.763
22. Working under pressure	3.72	0.999	27	3.20	1.088	24	-3.980*
23. Accuracy, attention to detail	3.78	0.832	33	3.22	1.085	25	-4.637*
24. Time management	3.77	0.913	31	3.19	1.108	23	-4.575*
25. Negotiating	3.65	0.913	20	3.14	1.061	20	-4.160*
26. Physical and mental fitness for work	3.68	0.953	22	3.53	1.003	43	-1.304
27. Manual skills	3.77	0.904	31	3.36	0.973	37	-3.568*
28. Working independently	3.86	0.937	36	3.32	1.101	32	-4.257*
29. Ability to work in a team	3.97	0.895	41	3.50	1.042	42	-3.932*
Behavior/character/personality (BCP)	3.83	0.894		3.24	1.043		-5.769*
30. Initiative	3.81	0.860	34	3.25	1.105	28	-4.541*
31. Adaptability	3.91	0.857	39	3.41	1.026	39	-4.253*
32. Decisiveness, persistence	3.72	0.927	27	3.32	1.013	32	-3.349*
33. Power of concentration	3.85	0.883	35	3.33	1.066	35	-4.315*
34. Getting personally involved	4.02	0.861	42	3.46	0.996	41	-4.816*
35. Loyalty, integrity	4.07	0.858	44	3.43	1.071	40	-5.237*
36. Critical thinking	3.70	0.874	26	3.24	0.963	26	-4.020*
37. Oral communication skills	3.74	0.948	29	3.26	1.013	30	-4.018*
38. Written communication skills	3.66	0.921	21	3.18	1.057	22	-3.852*
39. Tolerance of different view points	3.94	0.884	40	3.25	1.075	28	-5.632*
40. Leadership	3.52	0.934	16	2.93	1.062	7	-4.788*
41. Taking responsibilities, decisions	3.69	0.942	23	2.91	1.082	6	-6.232*
42. Tolerance for frustration [#]	3.86	0.899	36	3.11	1.052	18	-6.180*
43. Creative thinking skills [#]	3.74	0.902	29	3.34	1.003	36	-3.455*
44. Entrepreneurship [#]	4.03	0.825	43	3.32	1.046	32	-5.980*
45. Empathy [#]	4.08	0.939	45	3.24	1.061	26	-6.711*
Whole scale	3.63	0.674		3.17	0.838		-4.803*

5. Conclusion and recommendation

The self-evaluation employability by graduates is higher than enterprise-evaluation. Teachers and students in university could refer the means lower items of employee ability by enterprise evaluation in Table 1 to enforce the skills with remodel curriculum or teaching design.

References

- Brennan, J., Johnston, B., Little, B., Shah, T., and Woodley, A. (2001). *The Employment of UK graduates: comparisons with Europe and Japan*, Higher Education Funding Council for England.
- Business Dictionary. (2018). *Definition of employability*, Retrieved Aug 26, 2018 from <http://www.businessdictionary.com/definition/employability-skills.html>.
- Jen-Chia Chang, Hsi-Chi Hsiao, Su-Chang Chen, Dyi-Cheng Chen. (2018). The employability of undergraduates in Taiwan: From the view point of enterprises. In Mark Schofield (Chair), *International Conference on Education and Learning (ICEL)*. Symposium conducted at the meeting of the global academic-industrial cooperation society, pp.34-45. Waseda University, Japan. August 22-24, 2018.
- Ministry of Education. (2017). *Technical and vocational education policy guideline*. Retrieved Dec. 20, 2018 from <https://ws.moe.edu.tw/001/Upload/3/refile/6315/52872/d595d36a-1b27-42d3-b50f-95c0f4671296.pdf> on 1 March 2018.
- Misra, K. R. & Mishra, P. (2011). Employability skills: The conceptual framework & scale development. *The Indian Journal of Industrial Relations*, 46 (4), 650-660.
- National Development Council, *Plate of government opening data: Public information, List of 2016 & 2017 TTQS Awards*. Retrieved from <https://data.gov.tw/dataset/41723> on 28 February 2018.